Crawley Borough Council

Minutes of Full Council

Wednesday, 16 December 2020 at 7.30 pm

Councillors Present:

F Guidera (Mayor)

S Malik (Deputy Mayor)

L M Ascough, M L Ayling, A Belben, T G Belben, B J Burgess, R G Burgess, R D Burrett, D Crow, C R Eade, M Flack, J Hart, I T Irvine, K L Jaggard, G S Jhans, M G Jones, P K Lamb, R A Lanzer, T Lunnon, K McCarthy, J Millar-Smith, C J Mullins, M Mwagale, D M Peck, A Pendlington, J Purdy, T Rana, R Sharma, B A Smith, P C Smith and K Sudan

Also in Attendance:

Mr Peter Nicolson Appointed Independent Person

Officers Present:

Natalie Brahma-Pearl Chief Executive

Heather Girling Democratic Services Officer
Chris Pedlow Democratic Services Manager
Mr Peter Nicolson Appointed Independent Person

Elizabeth Brigden Planning Policy Manager Sallie Lappage Forward Planning Manager

Apologies for Absence:

Councillor R S Fiveash, T McAleney and M W Pickett

1. Disclosures of Interest

The disclosures of interests made by Councillors are set out in Appendix A to these minutes.

2. Minutes

The minutes of the meeting of the Full Council held on 21 October 2020 were approved as a correct record and signed by the Mayor.

3. Communications

The Mayor commented that there were no updates at this meeting as due to the current Coronavirus pandemic unfortunately there were restrictions in place that had limited Mayoral attendance.

4. Public Question Time

There were no questions from the public.

5. Polling Arrangements May 2021 – Governance Committee – 29 November 2020 (Recommendation 1)

The Full Council considered report <u>CEX/52</u> of the Chief Executive which proposed a new polling district LAC in Bewbush and North Broadfield Ward to cater for the boundary of the Bewbush and Ifield West Division with the Gossops Green and Southgate Division of West Sussex County Council. The reason for this proposal was to take account of a minor discrepancy between the Borough Ward boundary and County Division boundary at Burbeach Close, Bewbush.

The item had been previously considered at the Governance Committee on 17 November 2020. Councillor Burrett moved the recommendation which was seconded by Councillor Lunnon.

Following the conclusion of the debate, the Mayor invited the Democratic Services Manager to commence the voting process. Before the vote commenced, it was confirmed that none of the Labour or Conservative Members requested to vote as an individual, rather than through their respective Group block vote.

For the recommendation: Labour block vote of 14 votes, Conservative block vote of 16 votes, and Councillor Sudan. (31)

Against the recommendation: None (0)

Abstentions: (0)

The Mayor declared the recommendation was carried – votes in favour 31, and votes against 0 with 0 abstentions.

RESOLVED

That Full Council approves the amendment to the Polling Scheme to create polling district LAC as shown in the table at <u>Appendix A</u> to report <u>CEX/52</u>.

6. Submission Crawley Local Plan 2021-2037 – Cabinet – 25 November 2020 (Recommendation 2)

The Full Council considered report <u>PES/367</u> of the Head of Economy and Planning which sought Full Council approval of the submission of the draft Local Plan for a further publication consultation, and followed by the submission of draft Local Plan for Submission to the Secretary of State for Examination by an independent Planning Inspector, (*subject to minor amendments deemed necessary following consultation for the purposes of clarity*) and the approval of the publication and submission of the supporting documents for the Local Plan. The item had been previously considered at the Cabinet on 25 November 2020.

Councillor P Smith introduced the recommendation to the Full Council noting that it was a legal requirement for a Council to have a Local Plan in place which needed to regularly reviewed. The current Local Plan had been approved by Full Council in December 2015. It was noted that the development of the proposed Local Plan had occurred in a cross-party manner to ensure that the proposals were in the best interest of the borough and its residents. Officers were thanked for their hard work on producing the proposed Local Plan. Councillors Lamb and P Smith jointly moved the

recommendation which was seconded by Councillor Purdy, in so doing he commented his support for the proposal.

Councillor Burrett moved and presented the Pound Hill North and Forge Wood Councillors Amendment, namely:

That the Local Plan be agreed as proposed but with all reference to the Gatwick Green employment site allocation having been removed.

The amendment was seconded and supported by Councillor McCarthy.

A single debate occurred on both the recommendation and the proposed amendment. Councillors Crow, Lanzer, B Burgess, Jaggard and Guidera all spoke during the debate.

Following the conclusion of the debate, the Mayor invited the Democratic Services Manager to commence the voting process on the amendment. Before the vote commenced, it was confirmed that none of the Labour Members requested to vote as an individual, rather than through their respective Group block vote. However the Conservative Group confirmed that there would be no Group block vote on the amendment and as such Conservative Councillors would be voting individually.

For the amendment: Councillors Ascough, T Belben, Burrett, Eade, Jaggard, and McCarthy (6)

Against the amendment: Labour block vote of 14 votes and Councillors R Burgess, Crow, Guidera, Lanzer, Millar-Smith, Mwagale, Peck, Pendlington and Purdy (23)

Abstentions: Councillors A Belben, B Burgess, and Sudan. (3)

The Mayor declared that the proposed amendment had fallen – votes in favour 6, and votes against 23 with 3 abstentions.

Following the conclusion of the debate, the Mayor invited the Democratic Services Manager to commence the voting process on the recommendation 2. Before the vote commenced, it was confirmed that none of the Labour Members requested to vote as an individual, rather than through their respective Group block vote. However the Conservative Group confirmed that there would be no Group block vote on recommendation 2 and as such Conservative Councillors would be voting individually.

For the recommendation: Labour block vote of 14 votes, and Councillors A Belben, T Belben, R Burgess, Crow, Guidera, Jaggard, Lanzer, Millar-Smith, Mwagale, Peck, Pendlington, Purdy and Sudan (27)

Against the recommendation: None (0)

Abstentions: Councillors Ascough, B Burgess, Burrett, Eade, and McCarthy (5)

The Mayor declared the recommendation was carried – votes in favour 27, and votes against 0 with 5 abstentions.

RESOLVED

That Full Council:

- a) Approves the submission draft Local Plan and Local Plan Map for a further Publication consultation (a statutory six-week period of public consultation).
- b) Approves the submission draft Local Plan for Submission to the Secretary of State for Examination by an independent Planning Inspector, subject to amendments deemed necessary following consultation and updated evidence for the purposes of clarity.
- c) Notes that the final Local Plan will be brought back to Full Council following its independent examination for adoption.
- d) Approves the Local Plan Five Year Policy Assessment to confirm that each adopted Local Plan Policy retains full weight for Development Management decisions.

7. Budget Strategy 2021/22 – 2025/26 – Cabinet – 25 November 2020 (Recommendation 3)

The Full Council considered report FIN/511 of the Head of Corporate Finance, which set out the projected financial position for 2021/22 to 2025/26 for the General Fund, Housing Revenue Account, capital programme and the underlying assumptions. The report also set the policy framework for the budget process, recognising that there were a range of options for capital investment, income generation, savings and Council Tax levels; none of which can be considered in isolation. The overall objective was to work towards a balanced General Fund budget over a four year period, rather than previously three year period as a result of the impact of the pandemic.

It was noted that currently there was a budget deficit of £2.250m for 2021/22 before use of reserves and before any savings are identified, on the basis of a Council tax increase of 2.37% which is £4.95 on a Band D in property 2021/22.

The item had been previously considered at the Overview and Scrutiny Commission and Cabinet on 23 November 2020 and 25 November 2020 respectively. Councillor Lamb moved the recommendation which was seconded by Councillor Crow, who both spoke on the report.

Following the conclusion of the debate, the Mayor invited the Democratic Services Manager to commence the voting process. Before the vote commenced, it was confirmed that none of the Labour or Conservative Members requested to vote as an individual, rather than through their respective Group block vote.

For the recommendation: Labour block vote of 14 votes, and Conservative block vote of 17 votes. (31)

Against the recommendation: None (0)

Abstentions: Councillor Sudan (1)

The Mayor declared the recommendation was carried – votes in favour 31, and votes against 0 with 1 abstentions.

RESOLVED

That Full Council approves the Budget Strategy 2021/22 to 2025/26 and:

- a) Notes the outlook for Government funding for the period 2021/22 and future years as explained in the report and in particular the delay in Local Government Funding reforms and a one year only spending review.
- b) Notes the creation of a Covid-19 Support Reserve to provide resource cover for any ongoing impact of Covid-19 on the Council's medium term financial position, should no further support from Government be received in future years. This is from reviewing existing earmarked reserves.
- c) Notes, for the purpose of projections, the current budget deficit of £2.250m for 2021/22 before use of reserves, on the basis of a Council tax increase of 2.37% which is £4.95 on a Band D in property 2021/22. This is before any savings are identified.
- d) Works towards balancing this over a four year period, including putting back into reserves when the Budget is in surplus. There may be a need to use reserves over the next 3 years to balance the budget together with ongoing savings.
- e) Agrees that savings, efficiencies and increased income identified by officers are approved in order to reduce the budget gap.
- f) Notes that savings agreed to be taken forward will be worked up and included in the Budget and Council tax report to Cabinet in February 2021.
- g) Notes that items for the Capital Programme are driven by the need for the upkeep of council assets and environmental obligations and schemes will also be considered that are spend to save or spend to earn but that such prioritisation should not preclude the initial consideration of capital projects that could deliver social value. That due to the pandemic new capital schemes will be standalone and will reported separately to Cabinet meetings.
- h) Approves the revised Crawley Homes capital investment plan in Appendix E which includes the financial year 2023/24.
- i) Notes that the Budget is aligned to the Council's Corporate Priorities.

8. Appropriation of Garages from the HRA to the General Fund – Cabinet – 25 November 2020 (Recommendation 4)

The Full Council considered report <u>FIN/511</u> of the Head of Corporate Finance. The report proposed the appropriation of garages from the HRA to the General Fund. It was explained that with the garages being assets they could be taken out of the ring-fenced HRA and moved to the General Fund, thus bringing in a further revenue to the Council. In return there would be a contribution to the HRA of £17.6m to invest in new homes or to pay off existing debt.

The item had been previously considered at the Cabinet on 25 November 2020. Councillor Lamb moved the recommendation which was seconded by Councillor Irvine. Councillors Crow and Millar-Smith also spoke on the report.

Following the conclusion of the debate, the Mayor invited the Democratic Services Manager to commence the voting process. Before the vote commenced, it was

confirmed that none of the Labour or Conservative Members requested to vote as an individual, rather than through their respective Group block vote.

For the recommendation: Labour block vote of 14 votes, and Conservative block vote of 17 votes. (31)

Against the recommendation: None (0)

Abstentions: Councillor Sudan (1)

The Mayor declared the recommendations was carried – votes in favour 31, and votes against 0 with 1 abstentions.

RESOLVED

That Full Council approves:

- The appropriation of all garages from the HRA to the General Fund as at 1 April 2021, with the exception of those garages that are included within a Crawley Homes' tenancy agreement
- b) Delegated authority to the Head of Corporate Finance in consultation with the Head of Crawley Homes to use the powers under Section 122 of the Local Government Act 1972 to transfer such garages from the HRA to the General Fund, when any of the garages currently included within a Crawley Homes' tenancy agreement falls outside of that tenancy, and
- c) To develop an appropriate pricing and fees regime for the letting of garages in consultation with the Leader, and for this to take effect from 1st April 2021.

9. Authority to Approve a Scheme Budget and Appoint a Contractor for Breezehurst Phase 2 Housing Development [PART B Report – Cabinet – 25 November 2020 (Recommendation 5)

The Full Council considered report CH/192 of the Head of Crawley Homes. The report sought approval for the budget and authority to enter into a 'Design and Build Contract' for the construction of approximately 85 new affordable residential units at Breezehurst Playing Fields, Bewbush, Crawley as part of the Council's own build housing programme.

The item had been previously considered at the Cabinet on 25 November 2020. Councillor Lamb moved the recommendation which was seconded by Councillor Irvine. Councillors Crow, Jones, Jhans, Bob Burgess, Mullins, and Ayling also spoke on the report.

Following the conclusion of the debate, the Mayor invited the Democratic Services Manager to commence the voting process. Before the vote commenced, it was confirmed that none of the Labour or Conservative Members requested to vote as an individual, rather than through their respective Group block vote.

For the recommendation: Labour block votes of 14 votes, Conservative block votes of 17 votes, and Councillor Sudan. (32)

Against the recommendation: None (0)

Abstentions: (0)

The Mayor declared the recommendation was carried – votes in favour 32, and votes against 0 with 0 abstentions.

RESOLVED

That Full Council approves the expenditure stated in paragraph 6.5 of report CH/192 from the Housing Revenue Account (HRA) and Right to Buy one for one receipts for the delivery of approximately 85 new affordable residential units at Breezehurst Playing Fields.

10. Appointment of a Temporary Chair of the Full Council Meeting

In advance of agenda item 7 – Notice of Motion – *Donating the Mayoral Ball Budget to the Mayor's Charities*, the Mayor commented as he would be the mover of the Motion and Deputy Mayor Councillor Malik was to be the seconder of the Motion, they would therefore relinquish the positions of Chair and Vice Chair of the meeting for the item.

The Mayor then sought a nomination to Chair the next item. Councillor Purdy was nominated by Councillor Crow and seconded by Councillor Burrett. With no other nominations it was agreed that Councillor Purdy be appointed as the Chair for the next item.

11. Notice of Motion - Donating the Mayoral Ball Budget to the Mayor's Charities

The Council considered the Notice of Motion 'Donating the Mayoral Ball Budget to the Mayor's Charities' which was set out in the Full Council's agenda. The Motion was moved and presented by Councillor Guidera and seconded and supported by Councillor Malik. In presenting the Motion Councillor Guidera explained how his Mayoral year had been drastically affected by the pandemic, as such he had not been able to hold funding events to support his chosen charity of Manor Green School and College, which would happen in a normal year. He explained that it was likely that he would be unable to hold the annual Mayoral charity ball so he was proposing that, to ensure he was still able to donate to his charity, the budget allocated for supporting Mayoral events be donated instead.

Councillors Ayling, R Burgess, Sharma, Crow, Jaggard spoke in support of the proposal during the debate on the Notice of Motion.

Following the conclusion of the debate, the Mayor invited the Democratic Services Manager to commence the voting process. Before the vote commenced, it was confirmed that none of the Labour or Conservative Members requested to vote as an individual, rather than through their respective Group block vote.

For the Notice of Motion: Labour block votes of 14 votes, Conservative block votes of 17 votes, and Councillor Sudan. (32)

Against the Notice of Motion: None (0)

Abstentions: (0)

Councillor Purdy in the Chair declared the Notice of Motion was carried – votes in favour 32, and votes against 0 with 0 abstentions.

RESOLVED

Each year the Mayor is installed and a celebration is held to mark the occasion. During the year the Mayor would normally host several functions, events that members of the community are invited to attend with the main event being the annual Mayor's Ball. The Mayor uses these functions to thank the community and to assist with fundraising for their chosen charity.

As we all know, 2020 is not a normal year for anyone.

Due to the lockdowns and the limitations on group sizes outside of lockdowns, as the Mayor I have been prevented from hosting events and fundraising in any meaningful way so far and I don't expect that to change during my term as Mayor which ends in May next year, therefore the budget allocated for supporting such Mayoral events during my term remains unused.

It's Christmas. What better time than the season of goodwill to propose a generous and festive Christmas Notice of Motion?

It is hereby propose to donate as a gift, a sizeable part of the ceremonial budget that would normally be spent on the Mayoral activities during my term as Mayor to one of the most deserving causes in our town, my chosen charities this year, Manor Green Primary School and College. They too have been unable to fundraise this year and with this gesture we will help them greatly.

Over the past four years the average cost of the annual Mayoral ball has been £4700 alone, and as the Mayor has been unable to host any events so far and will be unable to host a Mayor's Ball this municipal year, I am seeking councillor support and approval for this Motion, to donate from the ceremonial budget £5000 to be split equally between Manor Green School and College.

I would like to thank the Councillor Malik for kindly agreeing to second this Notice of Motion.

At the conclusion of the item the Mayor returned to the chair and in doing so thanked Councillor Purdy for chairing the last item.

12. Councillors' Questions Time

Name of Councillor asking	Name of Cabinet Member	
Question	Responding	
Councillor Crow to the Cabinet	Councillor P Smith	
Member for Planning and Economic	Cabinet Member for Planning and	
Development	Economic Development	
I thank the Deputy Leader for providing the update on the announcement of the Supreme Court	Going through the courts there are various environmental groups challenging government policy and	

overturning the Court of Appeal decision regarding the future 3rd runway at Heathrow. The statement had been provided in relation to the Local Plan. My question is what his thoughts are to what this now means for Gatwick and its future aspirations to expand, either within the existing footprint or indeed outside of that footprint? Aviation has suffered this year and we hope numbers return but I'm interested in the Cabinet Member's thoughts on the implications of the decision for the future of Gatwick airport and its potential expansion.

when you then factor in the unknowns relating to post-Covid, at the moment it appears we are faced with the status quo. We have received no updates from Gatwick. The last update from them was that they were planning to proceed with the DCO process for the northern emergency runway. They key thing to do is to make sure we can cover the current situation as comprehensively as we can based on the best advice in the Local Plan to protect the interest of our residents and the airport itself.

I recognise this is a significant announcement and officers will need to be looking at it carefully. I think we are keen to support Gatwick in its current position in terms of its operating capacity and number of passengers and wish Gatwick a much more successful 2021...

Councillor Millar-Smith to the Cabinet Member for Environmental Services and Sustainability

I am aware that the Police, shop security officers and businesses have and use "walkie talkie" radios to communicate to each other regarding incidents. The council's Community Wardens do not have these they only have mobile phones so if they need to make officers aware they need to phone or borrow the units to convey the message. I believe they used to have radios, I wondered what the rationale was why the Community Wardens did not have radios and could they have so the communication could be joined up?

Councillor Jhans Cabinet Member for Environmental Services and Sustainability

Thank you for the question. The Community Wardens do work very closely with the police officers in the local area. On the main point on the "walkie talkie" radios I will look into that point and get back to you.

Councillor Brenda Burgess to the Cabinet Member for Wellbeing

I'm sure you will join with me in saying how regrettable it is that some adventure playgrounds may need to close due to financial constraint. Councillor Mullins
Cabinet Member for Wellbeing

We are in a situation as you're aware from the budgets and Covid and unable to look at the markets. There are limits as to business. There is no scope to Thankfully some will remain open and some will be refurbished to comply with needs for unsupervised play. However regarding those which may need to close, how were other options explored and considered for example bringing in external providers? privatise the adventure playgrounds as the principle was always 'free play' but that was years ago and figures have changed. Sadly there is an investment need. There will be some public reaction but we are forced into that reaction but we are looking into alternative unsupervised provision in Bewbush and Broadfield. Need to look at the provision available. There has been some successful street play recently and looking at how best to provide play opportunities in the future.

Councillor Bob Burgess to the Cabinet Member for Planning and Economic Development

Economic Development

At the Full Council meeting of 21

October 2020, the council
unanimously passed a motion on the
Planning White Paper that had been

Economic Development

The Chief Executive ser

MHCLG as per the Motion
been no response receive this is no surprise as it is

October 2020, the council unanimously passed a motion on the Planning White Paper that had been published by the Government. One of the things the council resolved to do was to instruct the Chief Executive to write a letter expressing the council's concern about the proposal and seek revised proposals that better served planning in Crawley. Has any response been received yet if so what was the response, and if not, what has been done (or what can be done) to encourage a speedier response?

The Chief Executive sent a letter to the MHCLG as per the Motion. There has been no response received. Apparently this is no surprise as it is assumed that the council's letter went in to the normal response of proposals by the government. I'm sure you have heard a new set of reforms will be coming in the new year so I think that could be the answer.

Cabinet Member for Planning and

Councillor P Smith

Councillor Burrett to the Cabinet Member for Planning and Economic Development Councillor P Smith
Cabinet Member for Planning and
Economic Development

In his response to the amendment (to item 6, Submission Crawley Local Plan 2021-2037 – Cabinet – 25 November 2020 (Recommendation 2) Councillor Peter Smith said there would be an extensive 6 week consultation that would enable residents, businesses and ward councillors to have their say and I very much welcome that. If the strength of opinion that comes back as a result of the consultation is that people in the Fernhill area are opposed to the Gatwick green

It is a fair point, which can be deployed to many scenarios. How do you weigh up one set of views against another? The whole Local Plan is a balancing act. I can assure you and your residents, in fact all residents, that we will take and review all responses and they will be taken into account and the response will be published. People may be in favour of it as they may want to have jobs, people may not be in favour of it. They will all be taken seriously.

employment area will they be listened to, or will they simply be told sorry we have to do this or the Local Plan will be found 'unsound'? If this is the case, what is the point of consultation?

Councillor Lanzer to the Cabinet Member for Planning and Economic Development

I refer him to the Planning Committee of 3 November 2020 and the application for the Longley House development which delivers 121 residential units. There was a clause in there which states 'It was confirmed that the bedroom windows facing the Arora Hotel would have a panel of obscure glass in the centre in order to mitigate overlooking'. We all recognise the need for additional housing but the idea of adding obscure glazing in the bedroom in the first instance might disturb some people. I wondered how far he saw the policy going in the first instance and allow obscure glass to support a planning application in which otherwise would clearly be compromised.

In policy terms, where do you draw the line? If the line isn't drawn for obscure glazing in the bedroom, would you draw the line in the living room?

Councillor P Smith
Cabinet Member for Planning and
Economic Development

I don't remember the specific circumstances of those windows but I understand your point and I will answer in the general sense. Development control is very much a balancing act where members have to listen to officers' technical assessment against the various rules, regulations and Local Plan policy. Something that is not ideal is actually acceptable in the context of the overall application and justified on all other grounds. Discussions occur regularly on these matters and on balance seemed reasonable.

My personal opinion is irrelevant as it's all laid down in the Local Plan. You have to take a balanced view on the specifics of the case.

13. Receiving the Minutes of the Cabinet, Overview and Scrutiny Commission and Other Committees including Items for Debate

Moved by Councillor Mailk (as the Deputy Mayor):-

RESOLVED

That the following reports be received:

- Overview and Scrutiny Commission 2 November 2020
- Planning Committee 3 November 2020
- Licensing Committee 9 November 2020
- Governance Committee 17 November 2020
- Overview and Scrutiny Commission 23 November 2020

• Cabinet – 25 November 2020

It was noted that there were no other items reserved for discussion.

Closure of Meeting

With the business of the Full Council concluded, the Chair declared the meeting closed at 10.07 pm

F Guidera (Mayor)

Disclosures of Interest Received

Councillor	Item	Meeting and Minute	Type and Nature of Disclosure
Councillor R D Burrett	Safer Crawley Partnership Annual Update and Forthcoming Priorities	Overview and Scrutiny Commission 2 November 2020 (Minute 4)	Personal Interest – Trustee of Crawley Open House
Councillor Irvine	CR/2020/0216/RG3 – Milton Mount, Milton Mount Avenue, Pound Hill, Crawley	Planning Committee 3 November 2020 (Minute 8)	Personal Interest – Portfolio Holder for Housing.
Councillor Purdy	CR/2020/0024/FUL – Longley House, East Park, Southgate, Crawley (Minute 6)	Planning Committee 3 November 2020 (Minute 6)	Personal Interest – employed by UK Power Networks (a consultee on the application that did not provide a response).
Councillor P Smith	CR/2020/0142/FUL – Downsman Bowls Club, Ifield Avenue, West Green, Crawley	Planning Committee 3 November 2020 (Minute 7)	Personal Interest – member of the Crawley Labour Supporters Club (based at a nearby building that shares a car park with the application site).
Councillor R D Burrett	Submission Crawley Local Plan 2021 - 2037	Overview and Scrutiny Commission 23 November 2020 (Minute 4)	Personal Interest – Member of WSCC
Councillor R D Burrett	Budget Strategy 2021/22 – 2025/26	Overview and Scrutiny Commission 23 November 2020 (Minute 5)	Personal Interest – Deferred member of pension scheme
Councillor R A Lanzer	Budget Strategy 2021/22 – 2025/26	Overview and Scrutiny Commission 23 November 2020 (Minute 5)	Personal Interest – Member of WSCC
Councillor R D Burrett	Health and Adult Social Care Select Committee (HASC)	Overview and Scrutiny Commission 23 November 2020 (Minute 8)	Personal Interest – Member of WSCC
Councillor P Smith	Budget Strategy 2021/22 - 2025/26	Cabinet 25 November 2020 Minute 7	Personal Interest – As Councillor P Smith is the Council's representative to the Town Centre BID Board
Councillor Burrett	Appropriation of Garages from the HRA to the General Fund	Cabinet 25 November 2020 (Minute 10)	Personal Interest – As Councillor Burrett currently rents a garage from the Council.
Councillor Sharma	Notice of Motion - Donating the Mayoral Ball Budget to the Mayor's Charities	Full Council 16 December 2020 (Agenda Item 7)	School Governor at Manor Green Community College

